



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/369,360	08/06/1999	HEIKO HOLZHEUER	P99.1523	6693

7590 12/03/2001

SCHIFF, HARDIN & WAITE  
PATENT DEPARTMENT  
7100 SEARS TOWER  
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473

EXAMINER

HAILU, TADESSE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2173

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TR

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/369,360

Applicant(s)

Helko Holzheuer

Examiner

Tadesse Hallu

Art Unit

2173

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 6, 1999
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirements.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

## Attachment(s)

- 15) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 16) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_ 20) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 2173

---

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to the patent application (09/369,360) filed on 5 August 1999.

***Priority***

2. Priority claimed to Germany Patent 199 10 357.7 dated 9 March 1999

***Information Disclosure Statement***

3. No IDS submitted

***Status of the claims***

4. Claims 1-17 are pending.

***Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112***

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The following limitation "...starting document is **inversely related** to said measure of similarity" is not shown any where in the specification.

Art Unit: 2173

---

***Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102***

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

8. **Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pirolli et al (5,895,470).**

Pirolli et al.(5,895,470) discloses a system for categorizing documents in a linked collection of documents.

Regarding to claim 1: as in the present claimed invention, Pirolli discloses a computer system comprising a processor, a pointing device and a graphic display. Pirolli also discloses a software tool or browser (col 6, lines 4-26) which enables a user to traverse through and view documents residing on the Web. Pirolli also identifies and categorizes document according their similarities with the focus document (or "starting document") (see Abstract, Fig. 4 (Similarity measure)) also the browser tool produce and display the result of similarity of these documents in graphical form or symbols, such as widths of the lines connecting the various pages 1001-1007 is an indication of how similar the pages are (see col 2, lines 24-38, Fig. 10). These graphical form appearance differ with each other according to their similarities with the focus document (see Pirolli: FIG. 4 ( calculating a text similarity matrix), col 5, lines 7-18, col 5, line 66-col 6, lines 2,

Art Unit: 2173

---

col 10, lines 50-61, co, 12, lines 47-col 13, lines 13). The method **claim 10** recites steps performed by the apparatus or device of claim 1 and therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding claim 2, Pirolli discloses a storage area which holds characteristic vectors that produce the similarity measurements (col 6, lines 4-26), wherein, techniques from information retrieval can be applied to calculate a text similarity matrix which represents the inter-document text similarities among Web pages (col 6, lines 36-col 7, lines 66). The method **claim 11** recites steps performed by the apparatus of claim 2 and therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding claim 3, Pirolli further discloses a plurality of characteristic vectors (col 7, lines 11-63). The method **claim 12** recites steps performed by the apparatus of claim 3 and therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding claim 4, Pirolli discloses measuring similarity by a weights function over the frequencies of words that are common in the document, entries in the vector for a document indicate the presence or frequency of a word in the document (col 7, lines 49-63, col 9, lines 37-49, col 11, lines 58-col 12, lines 9, col 12, lines 37-46). The method **claim 13** recites steps performed by the apparatus of claim 4 and therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, as shown in Figs. 10-11, Pirolli discloses a text similarity network and corresponding matrix representation. Specifically as shown in Fig. 10, the widths of the lines connecting the various pages 1001-1007 is an indication of how similar the pages are (col 10, lines 62-67, col 11, lines 12-19). Pirolli also indicates that said graphical representation

Art Unit: 2173

---

can be shown in color (col 12, lines 37-46).. The method **claim 14** recites steps performed by the apparatus of claims 5 and 6 and therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding claim 7, Pirolli discloses the relative arrangement of graphical representation of various pages 1001-1007 according to their text similarity, that is inter-document similarity of pages (see FIGS. 10-11). The method **claim 15** recites steps performed by the apparatus of claim 7 and therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding claims 8 and 9, Pirolli also discloses manipulating the graphical representation using a mouse pointer to generate selection of words wherein said focus document is determined by the higher frequency of said selection of words within said documents (col 4, lines 60-col 5, lines 6, col 7, lines 49-63, col 10, lines 4-40).The method **claims 16 and 17** recite steps performed by the apparatus of claims 8 and 9 respectively and therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

### ***Conclusion***

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to *Tadesse Hailu*, whose telephone number is (703) 306-2799. The Examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 - 7:30 ET. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, *John Cabeca*, can be reached at (703) 308-3116 Art Unit 2173 CPK 2-4A51

10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Application/Control Number: 09/369,360


Page 6

Art Unit: 2173

---

*Tadesse Hailu*

26 Nov 2001



RAYMOND J. BAYERL  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 2173